EXHIBIT E

2002 Settlement Agreement between the Northern Cities and Northern Landowners

Santa Maria Valley Water Conservation District v. City of Santa Maria Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. CV 770214

1 2 3 4 5	NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOT Frederic A. Fudacz, State Bar No. 50546 Henry S. Weinstock, State Bar No. 89765 Alfred E. Smith, State Bar No. 186257 445 South Figueroa Street, 31 st Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 612-7800 Facsimile: (213) 612-7801	ΓΤ, LLP	
6	Attorneys for Defendants City of Arroyo Grande, City of Grover Beach, City of Pismo Beach, Oceano Community Services District		
8	SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
10			
11 12	SANTA MARIA VALLEY WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT, a public entity,	SANTA MARIA GROUNDWATER LITIGATION, LEAD CASE No. CV 770214 (Consolidated with CV 784900, 784921,	
13	Plaintiff,) 784926, 785509, 785511, 785515, 785522,) 785936, 786971, 787150, 787151, 787152,) 990738, 990739)	
14	v.) 990100, 300100)	
15	CITY OF SANTA MARIA, et al.,	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN NORTHERN CITIES, NORTHERN	
16	Defendants.	LANDOWNERS, AND OTHER PARTIES	
17			
18	AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS.		
19			
20	PARTIES AN	ID EFFECTIVE DATE	
21	This Agreement is entered int	o among the Cities of Arroyo Grande, Pismo	
22	Beach, Grover Beach and the Oceano Con	nmunity Services District (collectively "Northern	
23	Cities"), owners/lessors of land located in the Northern Cities Area ("Northern Landowners"),		
24	and other parties who execute this Agreem	ent. This Agreement is entered into as of April 30,	
25	2002.		
26	STIPULA	ATIONS OF FACT	
27	A. In 1997, the Santa Mai	ria Valley Water Conservation District initiated this	
i			

Numbers 784900, 784921, 784926, 785509, 785511, 785515, 785522, 785936, 786971, 787150, 787151, 787152, 990738, and 990739 (the "Action"), to adjudicate groundwater rights in the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin;

- B. Numerous parties have filed complaints and/or cross-complaints in the Action with respect to rights to produce water in the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin;
- C. By Order dated December 21, 2001, the Court determined the geographic area constituting the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin ("Basin") and ruled that the Northern Cities Area (identified on the map attached hereto as Exhibit A) is within the Basin;
- D. Under current water supply and demand conditions, the groundwater basin in the Northern Cities Area is in rough equilibrium, and groundwater pumping in the Northern Cities Area does not negatively affect water supplies in the remainder of the Basin;
- E. For more than 30 years, there have been separate funding, management and usage of groundwater in the Northern Cities Area from groundwater in the Santa Maria Valley. For example, the Northern Cities and Northern Landowners have paid and are paying tens of millions of dollars for the construction and retrofit of the Lopez Reservoir, which benefits the Northern Cities Area; whereas the Twitchell Reservoir has been paid for by parties in the Santa Maria Valley who benefit from it.
- F. The Northern Cities and Northern Landowners have agreed among themselves and do hereby reaffirm their agreement to cooperatively share and manage groundwater resources in the Northern Cities Area in accordance with a "Gentlemen's Agreement" that was originally developed in 1983 and amended thereafter. Said Agreement confers no rights on any third parties;
- G. It is in the interest of all of the parties to this litigation that the parties settle their claims and potential claims on the basis of the continued separate funding, management, and usage of the waters conserved by the Lopez Reservoir in the Northern Cities Area and by the Twitchell Reservoir in the remainder of the Basin, to preserve and protect water resources in those separate management areas.
 - H. This Settlement Agreement is also intended to provide the parties with

I. The parties to this Settlement Agreement have agreed to settle and resolve their cross-claims and potential cross-claims on the conditions set forth below:

NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS

- 1. <u>Separate Management Areas</u>. Subject to the conditions set forth below, water resources and water production facilities in the Northern Cities Area shall continue to be independently managed by the Northern Cities, the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and the Northern Landowners, with the intention of preserving the long-term integrity of water supplies in the Northern Cities Area. For example, the Northern Cities and Northern Landowners will not be responsible to pay for any of the costs of the Twitchell Reservoir; and the parties outside of the Northern Cities Area (Zone 3) shall not be responsible to pay any of the costs relating to the Lopez Reservoir.
- 2. Effects on Litigation. Except as provided below, the parties in the Northern Cities Area, on the one hand, and the other parties hereto, on the other hand, agree not to pursue or assert any claims against one another relating to water rights in the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin. Each of the Northern Landowners who execute this Agreement will be deemed to have been served by each of the water purveyor parties in this action who have signed this Agreement with cross-complaints seeking declaratory and other relief in the form of the cross-complaints previously filed by the City of Santa Maria; and each of the Northern Landowners who execute this Agreement shall be deemed to have served and filed answers to said cross-complaints denying all of their material allegations and asserting all available affirmative defenses. The Northern Cities and Landowners shall continue to be subject to reasonable discovery requests that are relevant to the remaining issues in the case.
- 3. <u>Court Approval</u>. This Settlement Agreement shall be submitted to the Court for approval. If approved, this Settlement Agreement shall be included in and attached as an exhibit to the final judgment in this Action, and the Northern Cities Area shall be treated

EXHIBIT E Page 3 of 18

25

26

27

28

- 4. Consent to Continuing Jurisdiction. Prior to this Agreement, there has been no adjudication of the water rights of the Northern Cities, Northern Landowners, or any other party, other than the determination of the boundaries of the Basin. Except ¶ 5 below, nothing in this Agreement authorizes the Court to restrict or affect the right of any party to pump, divert, use, or store groundwater or surface water without first according that party all of its substantive, procedural, and due process rights under constitutional, statutory, and common law requirements. Subject to the above and to the limitations of paragraphs 5-6 below, the parties hereto agree that the Court reserves and retains full jurisdiction, power, and authority over the Northern Cities Area, the Northern Cities, and the Northern Landowners, to enable the Court, upon motion of any party, to make such further orders or directions (1) to interpret, enforce, amend, or amplify any of the provisions of this Agreement; (2) to enforce, protect, or preserve the rights of the respective parties, consistent with the rights herein decreed; or (3) to issue such additional orders and/or injunctions to prevent injury to any party that might result from any material adverse change in the availability or quality of the water supplies in the Northern Cities Area, or the Nipomo Mesa Area, or any part of the Basin.
- 5. Reaffirmation of Gentlemen's Agreement. The Northern Cities and Northern Landowners hereby reaffirm their Agreement to cooperatively share and manage groundwater resources in the Northern Cities' Area in accordance with their AGREEMENT REGARDING MANAGEMENT OF THE ARROYO GRANDE GROUNDWATER BASIN, aka the "Gentlemen's Agreement." (A copy of the current version of this Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit B.) In particular, the Northern Cities and the Northern Landowners agree with each other to continue to divide the safe yield of groundwater in the Northern Cities' Area, including any increases or decreases of the safe yield, in accordance with ¶ 1 of Exhibit B hereto. Said water-sharing Agreement and this paragraph 5 shall only be binding on and enforceable by the Northern Cities and Northern Landowners.
 - 6. No Effect on Water Rights. Except as provided in ¶ 5 above, nothing in

28

this Agreement shall be construed to create, eliminate, increase, or reduce any substantive right of any party to pump, divert, use, or store groundwater or surface water; and nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to prove or disprove, directly or indirectly, any element of prescriptive rights to groundwater.

TECHNICAL OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

- Formation. A Technical Oversight Committee (TOC) shall be established 7. to carry out the ongoing monitoring and analysis program ("MAP," see below).
- Composition. The TOC shall be comprised of two voting representatives 8. of the Northern Cities and two voting representatives of parties providing public water service on the Nipomo Mesa ("Mesa Parties," which include the Nipomo Community Services District, Rural Water Company and Southern California Water Company, and their successors or assigns). At least one of the two representatives from the Northern Cities and the Mesa Parties shall be technically qualified to carry out the MAP duties described below. The other TOC representatives may be technical, policy, managerial, or legal in nature. The voting representatives shall attempt to operate by consensus. However, if consensus cannot be achieved, TOC decisions may be made by majority vote of the voting representatives.
 - Responsibility. The TOC shall implement and carry out the MAP. 9.
- Meetings. The TOC shall meet at least semi-annually for the first five (5) 10. years of implementing the MAP, and at least annually thereafter.
- Procedures of the TOC. The TOC shall establish procedures for the 11. fulfillment of its responsibilities under this Agreement.

MONITORING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM

12. Purpose and Legal Effect. A monitoring and analysis program (MAP) shall be established to provide ongoing data collection and analysis of water supplies and demands in the Northern Cities Area and the Nipomo Mesa. The purpose of the MAP is to regularly assess the potential impact on the water supplies on either side of the Zone 3 boundary line resulting from changing conditions regarding the water supplies and demands in the Northern Cities Area and the Nipomo Mesa, and the resulting changes in the surface and groundwater

flow conditions adjacent to and across the Zone 3 boundary line.

- "Plans") prepared pursuant to this Agreement are for information purposes only. They shall not independently create in the party(ies) preparing them any affirmative obligation to act, or implement any part of the Plans, nor shall they independently provide any other party or the Court any right to compel Action or enforce any obligation. However, any party may challenge the sufficiency of any Plan produced pursuant to this Agreement by showing that it has not been completed in substantial compliance with the requirements of this Agreement, except that any challenge to a Water Management Plan created pursuant to Paragraph 15 below may only be undertaken in a proceeding and under the standards set forth under Water Code sections 10650, et seq.
- 14. The Parties shall be excused from the preparation of the Plans required in this Agreement when the Court enters a final judgment in this litigation.
- approval of this Settlement, each of the Northern Cities and the Mesa Parties shall evaluate their current and future water supplies and prepare a Water Management Plan. The Water Management Plan shall generally include the content and analysis described in Water Code sections 10630 through 10635, and shall also include an analysis of the ongoing availability of groundwater in the Northern Cities Area given the changing urban and agricultural water demands in the Northern Cities Area. Each of the Northern Cities and the Mesa Parties shall update and revise their previously prepared Water Management Plans prior to December 31, 2006, and every five years thereafter; provided however, that this requirement to prepare a Water Management Plan is not intended to expand or impose upon any party rights or obligations with respect to such Water Management Plans, other than those specifically stated in this Section. Copies of the Water Management Plans shall be provided to the Northern Cities, the Mesa Parties, the Santa Maria Valley Water Conservation District and the City of Santa Maria.
 - 16. <u>Monitoring and Data Collection</u>. The TOC shall implement a MAP that

- a. Design. Within six months after Court approval of this Agreement, the TOC shall review existing data to select existing wells to include in the MAP. The TOC shall define the list of wells to be monitored and specific information to be obtained from each well, such as groundwater levels and groundwater quality constituents. The MAP shall also include data collection to provide for early detection of seawater intrusion and collection of other related data (e.g., deliveries of supplemental water, precipitation, discharge of treated waste water, etc.) as are necessary for preparation of the analyses and reports required by this Agreement. To the extent practical to adequately meet the purpose of this Agreement, the TOC shall use existing facilities, rather than new facilities, in the design of the MAP.
- b. Data Collection. As soon as the design of the MAP is complete, the TOC shall commence collection of groundwater monitoring data, with data collection to occur at intervals determined by the TOC.
- c. Changing Groundwater Use Patterns. The TOC may also monitor the groundwater pumping patterns in the Northern Cities Area and the Nipomo Mesa. The monitoring shall be based on either observed changes (municipal pumping) or estimated changes (private or agricultural pumping). The TOC may review the changes in pumping to assess the potential impacts on groundwater flow conditions along the Zone 3 boundary line and include its findings in the Annual Report, described below.
- d. MAP Assessment. Within two years of Court approval of this Agreement, and annually thereafter, the TOC shall evaluate data from the monitoring program, assess data gaps, and make recommendations to revise the monitoring program, including the use of other wells or installation of new monitoring wells, as appropriate. The TOC may recommend to the Northern Cities and the Mesa Parties or to the Court any additional

monitoring of hydrologic characteristics that may be prudent and cost-effective to meet the goals of this Agreement, to provide a higher level of confidence in the data and analyses than that which is based on existing wells, stream gages, etc.

- Annual Report. Based upon the MAP and other relevant information, the 17. TOC shall annually prepare a Report on Water Supply and Groundwater Conditions (Annual Report) for the Northern Cities Area and Nipomo Mesa. The Annual Report shall be filed with the Court, posted on the Court's website, and served on the Northern Cities, the Mesa Parties, the Santa Maria Valley Water Conservation District, and the City of Santa Maria. The first Annual Report shall be completed, filed and served, as described in the previous sentence, on or before the second (2nd) anniversary of this Court's approval of this Agreement, and annually thereafter. The Annual Report shall assess the adequacy of the water supplies in each area in comparison to the corresponding demands, and shall include an analysis and discussion of the estimates of the volume of groundwater in storage, an updated water budget assessment, and anticipated water supply constraints, if any.
- Cost Sharing. Unless otherwise agreed, each of the Northern Cities and 18. the Mesa Parties shall bear their own costs in participating in the TOC, gathering and analyzing data, and producing any written documents as may be required by this Agreement. To the extent the construction of new facilities may be required to implement this Agreement, the Northern Cities and the Mesa Parties shall develop an equitable cost sharing agreement. The parties will use their best efforts to minimize the costs of compliance in undertaking the obligations of this Agreement.
- 19. Cooperation of all Parties. All parties to this litigation and this Agreement shall provide any documents, information, access to wells, and well data, and take any other actions reasonably requested to implement the MAP, subject to prior protective orders and reasonable confidentiality restrictions.

ADVANCE NOTICE OF INCREASED WATER PRODUCTION

20. The Mesa Parties, the Northern Cities, and the Northern Landowners shall provide prior written notice to each other of their intent to drill new wells, materially increase


the production capacity of existing wells or take over the use of an existing well, if the well is to be used for water production (not monitoring). The notice must be served prior to or concurrent with the initiation of environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), if required, or at least ninety (90) days prior to the construction of a new well or the takeover or increase in capacity of an existing well. This ninety (90) day notice requirement shall not apply in the event of emergencies, such as replacement of a collapsed well, in which case notice will be provided as promptly as possible. The notice should provide a description of the location, intended capacity and use of the well.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 21. <u>No Third Party Beneficiary.</u> Nothing in this Agreement, whether express or implied, shall confer any rights or remedies under this Agreement on any persons other than the Parties to it and their respective successors and assigns. Nothing in this Agreement shall relieve or discharge the obligation or liability of any third parties to any Party to this Agreement.
- 22. <u>Legal Capacity.</u> The Parties warrant that all necessary approvals and authorizations have been obtained to bind them to all terms of this Agreement, and further warrant that the persons signing have authority to sign on behalf of their respective Parties.
- 23. <u>Amendment.</u> No amendment to this Agreement will be binding unless it is either signed by an authorized representative of all of the Parties or approved by the Court.
- 24. <u>Governing Law.</u> This Agreement will be construed in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of the State of California as applied to contracts that are executed and performed entirely in California.
- 25 <u>Severability.</u> If any provision of this Agreement is held invalid or unenforceable by any court, it is the intent of the Parties that all other provisions of this Agreement be construed so as to remain fully valid, enforceable, and binding on the Parties.
- 26. <u>Counterparts.</u> This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which will be considered an original, but all of which together will constitute one and the same instrument. Any party that is currently a party to this Action and any Northern Landowner may become a party to this Agreement by agreeing in writing to be

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN AND AMONG NORTHERN CITIES, NORTHERN LANDOWNERS, AND OTHER PARTIES

Copy of document found at www.NoNewWipTax.com



AGREEMENT REGARDING MANAGEMENT OF THE ARROYO GRANDE GROUNDWATER BASIN

A. Parties

This Agreement is entered into among the Cities of Arroyo Grande, Pismo Beach, Grover Beach and the Oceano Community Services District (collectively referred to hereinafter as "Parties" or "Urban Parties").

B. Recitals

WHEREAS, in January 1983, a Technical Advisory Committee consisting of representatives of Arroyo Grande, Grover City, Pismo Beach, Oceano Community Services District, Port San Luis Harbor District, the Farm Bureau, Avila Beach County Water District and the County of San Luis Obispo ("Committee") determined in reliance on the 1979 Report of the Department of Water Resources entitled <u>Ground Water in the Arroyo Grande Area</u> that the safe yield of the Arroyo Grande Groundwater Basin ("Basin") is 9,500 acre feet per year;

WHEREAS, in or about February 1983, the Parties agreed to enter into a voluntary groundwater management plan to provide for effective management of groundwater resources in the Basin through which each party was given sufficient water to meet its needs as then projected; such needs being met in part by the City of Arroyo Grande foregoing 358 acre feet per year of its historical use and the City of Pismo Beach foregoing 20 acre feet per year of its historical use;

WHEREAS, this management plan provided a reasonable division of the safe yield of the Basin without court imposed groundwater basin adjudication;

WHEREAS, on February 9, 1983, the terms of the management plan were incorporated into Resolution No. 83-1 of the South San Luis Obispo County Water Association Approving the Recommendations of the Committee relating to the Basin (the "Resolution");

WHEREAS, each of the Parties have adopted individual resolutions endorsing the provisions of the Resolution;

WHEREAS, the Parties have generally complied with the terms and conditions of the Resolution; and

WHEREAS, general compliance with the Resolution has proven to be a fair and efficient means of managing and protecting groundwater resources in the Basin as confirmed by the revised final draft report prepared by the Department of Water Resources entitled, <u>Water Resources of Arroyo Grande and Nipomo Mesa</u>, January 2000.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. Division of Safe Yield.

a. The Parties agree to a division of the safe yield of the Basin as follows:

Applied Irrigation 5,300 acre feet

Subsurface flow to ocean 200 acre feet

Urban Use:

City of Arroyo Grande 1,202 acre feet

City of Grover Beach 1,198 acre feet

City of Pismo Beach 700 acre feet

Oceano Community Services District 900 acre feet

- b. Any increase or decrease in the safe yield of the Basin attributable to changed operation of the Lopez Reservoir, or any other cause, shall first be divided between the Urban Parties and applied irrigation on a pro rata basis using the formula from the 1983 Gentlemen's Agreement, fifty-seven percent (57%) to applied irrigation and forty-three percent (43%) to the Urban Parties. Thereafter, the first 378 acre feet per year of any increase of safe yield allocated to the Urban Parties shall be divided between the City of Arroyo Grande and the City of Pismo Beach on a pro rata basis (95% to Arroyo Grande and 5% to Pismo Beach).
- c. The entitlements of each respective Urban Party may be increased based upon the conversion of irrigated agricultural lands to urban use. An Urban Party to this Agreement may increase its entitlement for urban use by a factor of three (3) acre feet per acre per year minus the calculated urban usage per acre per year upon the conversion of irrigated agricultural land to urban usage. "Irrigated agricultural land" shall be that land within the corporate limits of the party that was identified as irrigated agricultural land in the 1979 Department of Water Resources Report entitled <u>Ground Water in the Arroyo Grande Area</u>. This agricultural conversion factor may be applied to all acreage converted to urban use from January 1, 1983, throughout the life of this Agreement. Such an agricultural conversion factor is in the best interests of the overall Basin in that it will not result in any decline in the groundwater service over time. The Parties agree that no water should be converted to urban use within the Basin without establishing that it was irrigated agricultural land as defined in the 1979 Department of Water Resources Report, Groundwater in the Arroyo Grande Area.
- d. The Parties agree and understand that the safe yield figures utilized in this Agreement are a product of the 1979 Department of Water Resources Report regarding the Arroyo Grande Basin as adjusted by the 1983 ad hoc Technical Advisory Committee and that the division of the resources is based upon the historical use of each party and a practical accommodation of each Party's needs as they existed at the time of the adoption of the 1983

Gentlemen_s Agreement.DOC

agreement. It is agreed that the Parties will meet and confer on issues related to safe yield and division of existing water resources upon the final adoption of the new Arroyo Grande Basin study performed by the Department of Water Resources, which is currently in draft.

2. <u>Shared Information and Monitoring</u>: The Urban Parties to this Agreement shall freely share information with each other regarding each of their respective uses of groundwater in the Basin, including all pumping data such as amounts of water extracted, well static water levels, and water quality. The Urban Parties to this Agreement shall meet on a quarterly basis to share this information and to discuss water usage and impacts upon the Basin. The Parties shall conduct a review of water usage and the impacts on Basin hydrology in 2010 and 2020.

3. Term:

- a. This Agreement shall bind the Parties indefinitely absent a significant change of circumstances as to available water, water quality, or hydrogeology of the Arroyo Grande Basin. A significant change of circumstances shall allow any Party to opt out of this Agreement if the significant change of circumstances put that Party at risk of not being able to meet its potable water needs.
- b. Significant changed circumstances shall include changes within the Basin or outside of the Basin, including but not restricted to, a change in the Lopez Reservoir safe yield or an increase in Lopez Reservoir discharges for conservation purposes that threatens the ability of the Urban Parties to obtain their contractual allotments under their Lopez agreements, or a significant change in groundwater yields or quality, or a reduction in foreign water imported by any Urban Party. The Parties recognize that rainfall within the watershed is the most significant factor affecting the yield of Lopez Reservoir and the Basin.
- c. The Parties shall revisit the issue of the allocation of groundwater resources within the Arroyo Grande Basin in 2010 and 2020 in the context of the review provided for in section 2 of this Agreement. The Parties shall make new allocations of groundwater resources at that time if circumstances justify it and if no harm will result to other groundwater users. Priority shall be given to reallocation of historical use of groundwater to Arroyo Grande and Pismo Beach that those agencies chose not to pursue in the entering into of the original Gentlemen's Agreement in 1983 should such new allocations be made.
- d. A Party may opt out of this Agreement if significant changed circumstances arise as defined in this section. Such a party shall give all other parties to the agreement not less than six months written notice of its intention to opt out. The written notice shall describe in detail the significant changed circumstances upon which the Party bases its election to opt out of the Agreement.
- 4. <u>Mediation Agreement</u>: The Parties agree to mediate any disputes that arise out of the Parties' performance under this Agreement, or the interpretation of the terms of this Agreement, prior to instituting any litigation against or between any other Party to this Agreement. Should a Party institute litigation without first offering in good faith to mediate any such dispute, any Party may move for an order compelling mediation and staying the proceedings in the litigation until

after mediation has been completed. The prevailing party on a motion to compel mediation shall be entitled to recover its attorney's fees against any resisting party or any party who filed litigation without first making a good faith attempt to mediate the dispute. This mediation requirement shall not apply where the health and safety of any of the Parties, or any of the Parties' residents, is threatened and they must seek, and have obtained, preliminary relief for the purposes of preserving health and safety.

5. <u>No Third Party Beneficiaries</u>: The Parties are entering into this Agreement in order to reasonably allocate existing groundwater resources between themselves and not to benefit any third parties. This agreement shall only be enforceable between the Parties themselves. This Agreement does not create any right enforceable by any person or entity that is not a party to this Agreement.

6. General Provisions:

- a. The Parties warrant that all necessary approvals and authorizations have been obtained to bind them to all terms of this Agreement, and further warrant that the persons signing have authority to sign on behalf of their respective Parties.
- b. Written notice under this Agreement shall be given by placing such notice in the first class mail, postage prepaid, or by hand delivery to the current address of the office of any Party to this Agreement.
- c. No amendment to this Agreement will be binding on any of the Parties unless it is in writing and signed by an authorized representative of all of the Parties.
- d. This Agreement will be construed in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of the State of California as applied to contracts that are executed and performed entirely in California.
- e. If any provision of this Agreement is held invalid or unenforceable by any final judgment, it is the intent of the Parties that all other provisions of this Agreement be construed to remain fully valid, enforceable, and binding on the Parties.
- f. This Agreement may be executed simultaneously in one or more counterparts, each of which will be considered an original, but all of which together will constitute one and the same instrument.
- g. The Parties represent that prior to the execution of this Agreement, they consulted independent legal counsel of their own selection regarding the substance of this Agreement.

WHEREFORE, the Parties publicly consent to the terms and conditions of this Agreement by executing the same as set forth below.

Dated:	, 2001.	City of Arroyo Grande
		Ву:
		Print Name and Title:
Dated:	, 2001.	City of Pismo Beach
		Ву:
		Print Name and Title:
Dated:	, 2001.	City of Grover Beach
	Ву: _	Richard W. Neufeld, Mayor
Dated:	, 2001.	Oceano Community Services District
		Ву:
		Print Name and Title:

Gentlemen_s Agreement.DOC

GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

EXHIBIT C – NORTHERN LANDOWNER SIGNATURE PAGE FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

2	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT				
3	1. I am the owner and/or lessor (circle one or both) of at least ten acres of				
4	agricultural land in the Northern Cities Area (the area so designated on Exhibit A to this				
5	5 Settlement Agreement).				
6	2. Describe the parcel(s) of agricultural land that you own or lease:				
7	(a) Address(es):				
8	(b) Assessor's Parcel Number(s):				
9	(c) Number of acres of agricultural land that you own or lease:				
10	(d) Approximate number of acre-feet of water pumped annually:				
11	3. I have read this Settlement Agreement. I have obtained such legal advice				
12	or other counsel regarding its terms as I deem appropriate. I understand and agree to its				
13	terms.				
14					
15	Dated:, 2002				
16					
17	Print Name of Owner/Lessor:				
18 19	Title of Signer:				
20	Signature: Signature Page Filed with Court				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	M38DDC54003F.rtf				

EXHIBIT D - SIGNATURE PAGE FOR OTHER PARTIES - WATER PURVEYORS

dvice

M38DDC54003F.rtf